

Claim Scenarios

- **Advertising Agency**
An Advertising Agency develops a video to be presented at its client's annual shareholder meeting. The video is also to be distributed to the client's customers. The video has technical difficulties, and negatively affects the shareholder presentation. The client brings suit against the advertising agency alleging that the poor showing of the video resulted in damage to its reputation and a consequential loss in sales.
- **Breach of Duty of Care**
Shareholders filed suit seeking the removal of the directors and officers for misconduct and breach of duty. Allegations included embezzlement, coercion, theft, and conspiracy. \$300,000 in Defense Costs
- **Class-Action Suit**
Shareholders of an internet startup alleged the startup used unaudited and misleading financial statements as part of the material provided to investors. \$500,000 settlement
- **Competitor Claim**
The plaintiff filed a complaint their competitor alleging that a former employee, now working at the competition, engaged in unauthorized use of confidential information and committed other acts of unfair competition. As a result, the plaintiff alleges it has suffered irreparable and immediate injury. In addition, the plaintiff alleges that the defendant has possession of its confidential information and intellectual property. The causes of action included: Misappropriation of trade secrets, violations of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act and unfair competition. *Total Defense costs and settlement exceeded \$400,000.*
- **Failure of Due Diligence**
An investment firm purchased a hotel from a privately held company. The investment firm re-sold the hotel quickly for a much higher price; shareholders of the private company sued, alleging that the board of directors did not do their due diligence in the original sale. \$500,000 settlement
- **Government Claim:**
The Department of Justice brought suit against the Owner, Chief Financial Officer of an East Coast manufacturing company for price fixing. After an extensive trial, the allegations were dismissed due to lack of evidence, *but the defense costs incurred in defending themselves were in excess of \$750,000.*
- **Investor Claim:**
A suit was commenced by various investors who participated in start-up company's private placement that raised approximately \$5 million to fund expansion. An investigation made by plaintiffs, primarily from corporate records and documents showed that the Private Placement Memorandum contained numerous materially misleading statements and material omissions of certain facts. *The total defense costs and settlement exceeded \$750,000.*
- **Shareholder Claim**
A Midwest domiciled home products company retained an independent research firm to evaluate its new home product. Based on a favorable review by the outside firm, the company raised in excess of \$10 million for the production and marketing of the new product. Prior to releasing the product, the company's internal evaluation team discovered, after extensive testing, that the new product did not work properly. Shareholders brought suit against the company, directors and officers for misrepresentation in the offering memorandum. The plaintiff asserted causes of action for violation of various state securities laws. *Total costs including defense costs and settlement exceeded \$7,000,000.*

These scenarios are not intended to be interpreted as coverage positions. Coverage for any given claim is based upon its facts and the specific terms and conditions of the policy.